

**Exam for the lecture  
Algebraic Geometry I  
06.02.2014**

Name	
Surname	
Matriculation number	
E-mail	

**Hints:**

- (i) Please use a blue or black pen.
- (ii) Use a new sheet for each exercise.
- (iii) Throughout the whole exam  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field.
- (iv) You are allowed to use all claims and theorems from the lecture and the exercise sheets.

Exercise	1	2	3	4	5	$\Sigma$
	10	10	10	10	10	50

Grade:

**Exercise 1:** (5+5 points)

Let  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^2 = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2]$  and  $Z = V(T_1, T_2) = \text{Spec } (k[T_1, T_2]/(T_1, T_2)) \subset X$ .

Let

$$f : \text{Bl}_Z X = \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d \right) \longrightarrow X$$

denote the projection from the blow up of the origin to the affine plane.

- (i) Show that the fiber  $f^{-1}(Z)$  of  $f$  over the origin is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ .
- (ii) Show that  $\text{Bl}_Z X$  is not affine.

**Solution:**

(i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(Z) &= \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d \right) \times_X Z \\ &\cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d \otimes_{k[T_1, T_2]} k[T_1, T_2]/(T_1, T_2) \right) \\ &\cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d / (T_1, T_2)^{d+1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Further there is an isomorphism

$$k[X_1, X_2] \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d / (T_1, T_2)^{d+1}$$

given by mapping  $X_i$  to  $T_i$ . Hence

$$f^{-1}(Z) \cong \text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (T_1, T_2)^d \right) \times_X Z \cong \text{Proj} (k[X_1, X_2]) = \mathbb{P}_k^1.$$

(ii) We know that a closed subscheme of an affine scheme is again affine. By (i)  $\text{Bl}_Z X$  contains  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  as a closed subscheme (the base change of the closed immersion  $Z \hookrightarrow X$  is a closed immersion). As  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$  is not affine  $\text{Bl}_Z X$  is not affine as well.

**Exercise 2:** (5+5 Points)

Show that the maps  $f_k : X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$ ,  $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto t_3$  of  $k$ -valued points describe morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $k$ -schemes. Describe the fibers of these morphisms: which fibers are irreducible, which fibers are reduced?

(i)  $X = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1T_2 - T_3)$ ,  $Y = \text{Spec } k[T_3]$ .

(ii) Assume that  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  and let  $X = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1^2 - T_2^2 + T_3^2 - 1)$ ,  $Y = \text{Spec } k[T_3]$ .

**Solution:**

In both cases the morphism of affine schemes is induced by the map of rings

$$k[T_3] \longrightarrow k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1T_2 - T_3)$$

respectively

$$k[T_3] \longrightarrow k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1^2 - T_2^2 + T_3^2 - 1)$$

that maps  $T_3$  to  $T_3$ .

(i) The points of  $\text{Spec } k[T_3]$  are given by  $\eta = (0)$  and  $\xi_a = (T_3 - a)$  for  $a \in k$ , as  $k$  is algebraically closed. We have

$$f^{-1}(\eta) = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1T_2 - T_3) \otimes_{k[T_3]} \kappa(\eta)) = \text{Spec}(k(T_3)[T_1, T_2]/(T_1T_2 - T_3))$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\xi_a) = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1T_2 - T_3) \otimes_{k[T_3]} \kappa(\xi_a)) = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2]/(T_1T_2 - a)).$$

If  $L$  is a field and  $b \in L^\times$  we have  $L[T_1, T_2]/(T_1T_2 - b) \cong L[T_1, T_1^{-1}]$  via  $T_2 \mapsto b/T_1$  and this ring clearly is a domain.

Hence the fibers  $f^{-1}(\eta)$  and  $f^{-1}(\xi_a)$  for  $a \neq 0$  are reduced and irreducible.

For  $a = 0$  we have  $f^{-1}(\xi_0) = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2]/(T_1T_2)$  and the ideal  $(T_1T_2)$  is the product of the two (distinct) prime ideals  $(T_1)$  and  $(T_2)$ . Hence the fiber is reduced but has two irreducible components.

(ii) With the notations from (i) we have (for the same reasons)

$$f^{-1}(\eta) = \text{Spec}(k(T_3)[T_1, T_2]/((T_1 + T_2)(T_1 - T_2) + (T_3^2 - 1)))$$

and

$$f^{-1}(\xi_a) = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2]/((T_1 + T_2)(T_1 - T_2) + (a^2 - 1))).$$

Let  $L$  be a field with  $\text{char } L \neq 2$ , then  $L[T_1, T_2] = L[T_1 + T_2, T_1 - T_2]$  and given  $b \in L^\times$  we have

$$L[T_1, T_2]/((T_1 + T_2)(T_1 - T_2) + b) \cong L[T_1 + T_2, T_1 - T_2]/((T_1 + T_2)(T_1 - T_2) + b) \cong L[T_1 + T_2, (T_1 + T_2)^{-1}]$$

for the same reasons as in (i).

Hence  $f^{-1}(\eta)$  and  $f^{-1}(\xi_a)$  are reduced and irreducible if  $a \neq \pm 1$ .

For  $a = \pm 1$  we have  $f^{-1}(\xi_a) \cong \text{Spec}[T_1, T_2]/(T_1^2 - T_2^2)$  which is reduced and has two irreducible components, as  $(T_1^2 - T_2^2)$  is the product of the two (distinct, as  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ ) prime ideals  $(T_1 + T_2)$  and  $(T_1 - T_2)$ .

**Exercise 3:** (10 Points)

Let  $X$  be an irreducible topological space and  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$  be a covering of  $X$  by two open subsets. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$  is the constant sheaf  $\underline{A}_{U_i}$  for some abelian group  $A$ . Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the constant sheaf  $\underline{A}_X$ .

**Solution:**

Let us write  $U_{12} = U_1 \cap U_2$  and fix isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \underline{A}_{U_i}$ . The sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained by gluing  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_1}$  and  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_2}$  along an isomorphism

$$\underline{A}_{U_{12}} \cong \mathcal{F}_1|_{U_{12}} = \mathcal{F}|_{U_{12}} = \mathcal{F}_2|_{U_{12}} \cong \underline{A}_{U_{12}}.$$

As  $U_{12}$  is still irreducible this isomorphism is given by a single isomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$ . Let us identify  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{12}} \cong \underline{A}_{U_{12}}$  choosing the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_{12}} = \mathcal{F}_1|_{U_{12}} \cong \underline{A}_{U_1}|_{U_{12}} = \underline{A}_{U_{12}}$ . Then for  $U \subset X$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U \cap U_1) \times \mathcal{F}(U \cap U_2) & \xrightarrow{(s_1, s_2) \mapsto s_1|_{U \cap U_{12}} - s_2|_{U \cap U_{12}}} & \mathcal{F}(U \cap U_{12}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ A \times A & \xrightarrow{(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1 - \varphi(x_2)} & A. \end{array}$$

It follows that we can identify the kernel of the horizontal map with  $A$  via  $x \mapsto (x, \varphi^{-1}(x))$ . Which gives  $\mathcal{F}(U) \cong A$ .

One checks along the lines that for  $V \subset U \subset X$  under the isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}(U) \cong A$  and  $\mathcal{F}(V) \cong A$  just constructed the restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  translates into the identity  $\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A$ .

**Exercise 4:** (3+4+3 Points)

View  $X = \mathbb{A}_k^4 = \text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4]$  as the scheme parametrizing all  $2 \times 2$ -matrices, i.e. the scheme representing the functor

$$S \longmapsto \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \right\}$$

on the category of  $k$ -schemes. Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{A}_k^4$  denote the functor

$$S \longmapsto \{A \in X(S) \mid A^2 = 0\}.$$

- (i) Show that  $Y$  defines closed subscheme of  $X$ .
- (ii) Show that the reduced subscheme underlying  $Y$  is defined by the ideal

$$(T_1 + T_4, T_1T_4 - T_2T_3) \subset k[T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4].$$

- (iii) Show that  $Y$  is not reduced.

**Solution:**

- (i) The square of the universal  $2 \times 2$  matrix on  $X$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ T_3 & T_4 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^2 + T_2T_3 & T_1T_2 + T_2T_4 \\ T_1T_3 + T_3T_4 & T_2T_3 + T_4^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence  $Y$  is represented by the closed subscheme  $\text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4]/I)$ , where  $I$  is the ideal

$$I = (T_1^2 + T_2T_3, T_1T_2 + T_2T_4, T_1T_3 + T_3T_4, T_2T_3 + T_4^2).$$

- (ii) Let  $Z \subset X$  be the closed subscheme defined by the ideal  $J = (T_1 + T_4, T_1T_4 - T_2T_3)$ . Then  $Y$  and  $Z$  have the same underlying topological space: This may be checked on  $k$ -valued points, but a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with entries in  $k$  is nilpotent if and only if its trace and its determinant vanish which is the case precisely if it defines a  $k$ -valued point of  $Z$ .

Hence we are left to show that  $Z$  is reduced. We have

$$k[T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4]/(T_1 + T_4, T_1T_4 - T_2T_3) \cong k[T_1, T_2, T_3]/(T_1^2 + T_2T_3).$$

One easily checks that  $T_1^2 + T_2T_3$  is irreducible and hence the ideal generated by it is prime, as  $k[T_1, T_2, T_3]$  is factorial.

- (iii) It is enough to show  $Y \neq Z$ . But  $T_1 + T_4 \in J$  and  $T_1 + T_4 \notin I$ .

Alternatively, let  $R = k[T_1, T_2]/(T_1, T_2)^2$  and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with coefficients in  $R$ . Then  $A^2 = 0$ , as  $T_1^2 = T_2^2 = 0$  in  $R$  and hence  $A$  defines an  $R$ -valued point of  $Y$ . However,  $T_1 + T_2 \neq 0$  and hence  $A$  does not define an  $R$ -valued point of  $Z$ .

**Exercise 5:** (3+3+4 Points)

Let  $U = \mathbb{A}_k^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and write  $j : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2 = X$  for the canonical embedding and  $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  for the canonical projection onto the projective line.

(i) Show that  $p^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_U$ .

(ii) Show that  $j_*\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

(iii) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$  be the canonical surjection onto the twisting sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Show that the induced map

$$j_*p^*\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X^2 \cong j_*p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^2 \longrightarrow j_*p^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$$

has a non-trivial cokernel.

**Solution:**

(i) Let us write  $F$  for the functor that assigns to a graded  $S = k[T_1, T_2]$ -module a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\text{Proj } k[T_1, T_2] = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Further we write  $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$  for the functor that assigns to a (graded or not)  $k[T_1, T_2]$ -module  $M$  a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $\text{Spec } k[T_1, T_2] = \mathbb{A}_k^2$ .

We have  $\mathcal{O}(1) = F(S(1))$  and

$$p^*\mathcal{O}(1) = p^*F(S(1)) \cong \widetilde{S(1)}|_U \cong \widetilde{S}|_U = \mathcal{O}_U.$$

(ii) The scheme  $X$  is noetherian and hence so is  $U$ . It follows that  $j_*$  preserves the property of being quasi-coherent. As  $X$  is affine we have

$$j_*\mathcal{O}_U \cong \Gamma(X, j_*\mathcal{O}_U) \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U).$$

However, we computed in the lecture that  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U) = k[T_1, T_2]$  and hence  $j_*\mathcal{O}_U \cong k[T_1, T_2] = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

(iii) The map  $\varphi$  is defined by applying the functor  $F$  to the graded morphism of graded rings

$$\Phi : S^2 = Se_1 \oplus Se_2 \longrightarrow S(1)$$

mapping  $e_i$  to  $T_i \in S_1 = S(1)_0$ . It follows that  $p^*\varphi$  is the restriction of  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  to  $U$  and  $j_*p^*\varphi$  is determined by its effect on global sections. However the morphism

$$p^*\varphi = \widetilde{\Phi}|_U : \widetilde{S^2}|_U \longrightarrow \widetilde{S(1)}|_U$$

gives on global sections just  $\Phi$  itself which has a non trivial cokernel (given by  $k$  in homogenous degree  $-1$ ). Hence

$$\text{coker } j_*p^*\varphi = (\text{coker } \Phi) \cong k \neq 0.$$

*Alternative solution* (for (i) and (iii))

We define a surjection  $\psi : \mathcal{O}_U^2 = \mathcal{O}_U e_1 \oplus \mathcal{O}_U e_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$  by setting  $\psi(e_i) = T_i$ . Then the map  $p : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is just the map defined by  $\psi$  using the functorial description of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_U^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_U \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong \\ p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^1}^2 & \xrightarrow{p^*\varphi} & p^*\mathcal{O}(1). \end{array}$$

Especially  $p^*\mathcal{O}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_U$  proving (i). Further, on global sections  $\psi$  is the map  $\Phi$  from above. Hence  $j_*p^*\varphi$  is given by  $\widetilde{\Phi}$  and we conclude as above.